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**Create one new genus, *Boosepivirus*, with three species, *Boosepivirus A, Boosepivirus B* and *Boosepivirus C***

Novel picornaviruses, boosepiviruses, have been identified in faecal samples of diarrhoeic cattle in the Hokkaido Prefecture, Japan, and in unspecified samples of sheep (Nagai et al., 2015; Forth et al., 2019). These viruses represent four types of three novel species in a novel genus. No virus was isolated yet.

**Relation to other picornaviruses:**

- Genome layout of boosepiviruses:

 5'-UTRIRES-I[L-1A-1B-1C-1D/2Apro-2B-2Chel/3A-3BVPg-3Cpro-3Dpol]3'-UTR

 (compare Fig. 1 of supporting material)

- Boosepiviruses have typical hallmarks of picornaviruses:

 - **type I IRES**

 - capsid proteins **1B, 1C, 1D** have **rhv** domains with drug-binding site,

 - **2Apro** with **GxCG** motif of chymotrypsin-like cystein proteinases.

 - **2Chel** with **GxxGxGKS** motif of helicases,

 - **3BVPg** peptides with **Y-3** residue,

 - **3Cpro** with **GxCGx10A/GxH** motif,

 - **3Dpol** with **KDE**, **PSG**, **YGDD** and **FLKR** motifs.

- Boosepiviruses comprise a **distinct clade** of the *Anativirus/Enterovirus/Rabovirus/Sapelovirus* supergroup (supergroup 3) in phylogenetic analyses (compare Figs. 2 & 3 of supporting material). Three species with 4 types can be distinguished (compare Table 1).

**Distinguishing features:**

- **2A protein** with presumed proteinase activity; characteristic of supergroup 3 picornaviruses.

- **Sequence divergence** (uncorrected p-distances) of orthologous proteins is high in pairwise comparisons of boosepiviruses with representative sequences of all acknowledged and proposed species of picornavirus supergroup 3 (*Anativirus/Enterovirus/Rabivirus/Sapelovirus*) (compare Table 1).

**Table 1: Amino acid divergence\***

boosepivirus A1 (LC006971) vs. member of ... P1 2Chel 3Cpro 3Dpol

*within-genus* comparisons:

*Boosepivirus*† *Boosepivirus B*† (boosepivirus B1) 43.7% 39.8% 43.9% 37.1%

 *Boosepivirus C*†(boosepivirus C1) 43.1% 47.6% 32.8% 36.6%

*between-genus* comparisons:

*Anativirus* *Anativirus A* (duck picornavirus) 58.5% 57.5% 63.5% 39.2%

 *Anativirus B*† (phacovirus) 61.2% 57.7% 61.2% 51.6%

*Diresapivirus*† *Diresapivirus A*† (diresapivirus A1, KJ641688) 62.8% 52.3% 54.2% 44.9%

 *Diresapivirus B*† (diresapivirus B1) 64.8% 52.7% 52.0% 42.0%

*Enterovirus* *Enterovirus A* (enterovirus A71) 61.0% 60.1% 62.2% 47.6%

 *Enterovirus B* (enterovirus B1) 63.6% 60.2% 57.8% 42.5%

 *Enterovirus C* (poliovirus 1) 62.2% 58.3% 61.1% 44.7%

 *Enterovirus D* (enterovirus D68) 65.3% 59.4% 61.1% 45.7%

 *Enterovirus E* (enterovirus E1) 62.4% 55.2% 61.1% 46.3%

 *Enterovirus F* (enterovirus F1) 61.4% 58.2% 58.3% 43.6%

 *Enterovirus G* (enterovirus G1) 62.5% 61.6% 58.3% 44.7%

 *Enterovirus H* (enterovirus H1) 63.0% 59.6% 64.4% 45.5%

 *Enterovirus I* (enterovirus I1) 61.3% 57.6% 58.9% 43.6%

 *Enterovirus J* (enterovirus J1) 62.9% 61.8% 57.2% 44.1%

 *Enterovirus K* (enterovirus K1) 60.6% 58.1% 62.9% 46.7%

 *Enterovirus L* (enterovirus L1) 60.0% 57.3% 56.7% 44.5%

 *Rhinovirus A* (human rhinovirus A9) 63.7% 57.8% 61.1% 45.3%

 *Rhinovirus B* (human rhinovirus B3) 63.3% 56.9% 61.5% 43.6%

 *Rhinovirus C* (human rhinovirus C1) 65.2% 60.3% 63.3% 44.8%

*Felipivirus*† *Felipivirus A*†(felipivirus A1) 48.1% 43.6% 46.1% 37.5%

*Parabovirus*† *Parabovirus A*†(parabovirus A1) 50.7% 44.5% 51.7% 34.7%

 *Parabovirus B*† (parabovirus B1) 47.8% 43.9% 48.6% 35.6%

 *Parabovirus C*† (parabovirus C1) 51.1% 44.7% 54.1% 36.9%

*Rabovirus Rabovirus A* (rabovirus A1) 55.8% 45.8% 58.3% 43.4%

 *Rabovirus B* (rabovirus B1) 55.1% 49.4% 57.8% 42.5%

 *Rabovirus C* (rabovirus C1) 55.6% 47.4% 60.6% 39.8%

 *Rabovirus D* (rabovirus D1) 55.1% 49.1% 54.4% 43.6%

*Sapelovirus Sapelovirus A* (porcine sapelovirus ) 57.3% 55.0% 56.7% 43.3%

 *Sapelovirus B* (simian sapelovirus) 57.2% 50.6% 53.9% 37.6%

\* number of amino acid differences per site

† proposed taxa

**Type species of genus:**

***Boosepivirus A***, boosepivirus A1 strain Bo-11-39/2009/JPN, GenBank acc. no. LC006971

**Exemplar:**

***Boosepivirus A***, boosepivirus A1 strain Bo-11-39/2009/JPN, GenBank acc. no. LC006971

***Boosepivirus B***, boosepivirus B1 strain Bo-12-39/2009/JPN, GenBank acc. no. LC006979

***Boosepivirus C***, boosepivirus C1 strain NA, GenBank acc. no. LR216006

**Species demarcation criteria:**

Members of a species of the genus *Boosapivirus*:

- are less than 30% divergent in polyprotein aa sequence,

- are less than 40% divergent in P1 aa sequence,

- are less than 35% divergent in 2C+3CD aa sequence,

- share a common genome organization,

**Origin of name:**

**boosepivirus**: derived from **bo**vine, **o**vine **s**apelo-**e**ntero-like **pi**corna**virus**

| **References:** |
| --- |
| 1. Nagai, M., Omatsu, T., Aoki, H., Kaku, Y., Belsham, G.J., Haga, K., Naoi, Y., Sano, K., Umetsu, M., Shiokawa, M., Tsuchiaka, S., Furuya, T., Okazaki, S., Katayama, Y., Oba, M., Shirai, J., Katayama, K. and Mizutani, T. (2015). Identification and complete genome analysis of novel picornavirus in bovine in Japan. Virus Res. 2015 Aug 7. pii: S0168-1702(15)30033-2.2. Forth LF, Scholes SFE, Pesavento PA, Jackson K, Mackintosh A, Carson A, Howie F, Schlottau K, Wernike K, Pohlmann A, Höper D, Beer M. (2019). Novel picornavirus in lambs with severe encephalomyelitis. Emerging Infectious Diseases 25(5), May 2019. |

**Supporting Material**



**Figure 1:** Genome of boosepiviruses (schematic depiction). The open reading frame is indicated by a box. Positions of putative 3Cpro cleavage sites are indicated by a ▼, the putative 2Apro cleavage site by a diamond (◊), and the VP0 processing site by a ¶. The names and lengths of the deduced proteins are presented. The 5'-UTRs may be incomplete.



**Legend to Figure 2:**  Phylogenetic analysis of picornavirus **P1** using Bayesian tree inference (MrBayes 3.2). Eighty-nine picornavirus sequences of the *Anativirus/Enterovirus/Rabovirus/Sapelovirus* supergroup were retrieved from GenBank; the enterovirus sequence served as outgroup. [Note: the supergroup does not imply a taxonomic entity but reflects phylogenetic clustering of the respective genera observed in different tree inference methods (NJ, ML, Bayesian MCMC).] Presented are GenBank accession numbers, ***genus*** ***names***, *species names*, type and—if available—common names in round brackets. Designations of isolates are given in square brackets. Yet unassigned viruses are printed in blue. The proposed name is printed in red and indicated by a dot (●). Numbers at nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained after 2,000,000 generations. The optimal substitution model (GTR+G+I) was determined with MEGA 5. The scale indicates substitutions/site.



**Legend to Figure 3:**  Phylogenetic analysis of picornavirus **3CD** using Bayesian tree inference (MrBayes 3.2). Ninty-two picornavirus sequences of the *Anativirus/Enterovirus/Rabovirus/Sapelovirus* supergroup were retrieved from GenBank; the cardiovirus sequence served as outgroup. [Note: the supergroup does not imply a taxonomic entity but reflects phylogenetic clustering of the respective genera observed in different tree inference methods (NJ, ML, Bayesian MCMC).] Presented are GenBank accession numbers, ***genus*** ***names***, *species names*, type and—if available—common names in round brackets. Designations of isolates are given in square brackets. Yet unassigned viruses are printed in blue. The proposed name is printed in red and indicated by a dot (●). Numbers at nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained after 15,000,000 generations. The optimal substitution model (GTR+G+I) was determined with MEGA 5. The scale indicates substitutions/site.